I. INTRODUCTION

Optical spectra of atomically thin semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) demonstrate dominant excitonic features due to their large excitonic binding energies and oscillator strengths [1–3]. It is thus crucial to understand the nature of excitons for fundamental studies on TMDCs and desirable to find approaches to tune them on demand for optoelectronic applications. In this respect, many recent studies have exploited strain to engineer the optical spectra of ultrathin TMDCs [4–12], exploiting these materials’ unusually high in-plane mechanical strengths [13]. Significant changes to the optical absorption [12], redshifts exceeding 25% of the optical gap [4], indirect-to-direct gap transitions [6], and changes in the excitonic linewidths via strain have been reported in TMDCs [7,8,11,12,14,15]. In addition to the attractiveness of strain for altering the optoelectronic properties of these materials, the examination of such changes to the optical signatures provides insight into the many-body physics of excitons, the electronic band structure, and exciton–phonon scattering in TMDCs [12,14–17].

In this paper, we present investigations of the excitonic properties of bilayer (2L) TMDCs under strain, extending earlier studies for monolayer (1L) crystals [12,14,15]. The 2Ls present an interesting counterpart to the 1L materials. As is well known, the 2Ls possess indirect gaps, while the 1L materials generally have direct gaps. In both cases, however, the direct and indirect gaps lie only a few tens to hundreds of meV apart in energy [18–22]. Therefore, exciton–carrier–phonon scattering between the states associated with the direct and indirect gaps is expected to influence the optical and electrical properties of both 1Ls and 2Ls [21,23–25]. In fact, for the 1Ls, the consequences of using tensile strain in tuning the energy separation between states in different parts of the Brillouin zone and in significantly altering scattering rates have been established [26–29]. Here we study whether and how related processes occur in the case of the indirect gap 2L systems subjected to tensile strain.

In particular, we examine the strain dependence of the linewidth of the lowest-lying direct exciton (KK or A exciton) in 2L WSe2 and compare it to the previously reported behavior in 1L WSe2 [12]. We observe a substantially different line narrowing in 2L WSe2 from that seen in 1L WSe2, despite the similar dependence of the material properties on strain. In 1L, the linewidth is most sensitive at low strain and decreases from 42 to 24 meV at 2.1% strain. However, in 2L the linewidth is nearly constant until a strain of 0.7% is reached, and the linewidth decreases more rapidly at higher strains in 2L than in 1L, dropping from 70 to 36 meV. By making use of the experimentally determined strain dependence of the direct and indirect exciton energies, we calculate the strain-dependent intervalley scattering rate within the deformation potential approximation. We show that the decrease in the linewidth for 2L can be explained by a reduction of the phonon-mediated intervalley scattering rate from the direct KK to the lowest-lying indirect KQ (also known as \( \Lambda \)) excitonic state as for the 1L. We then note that the different narrowing can be explained by the indirect nature of the gap in the unstrained 2L. Thus, we show that the model used on 1L WSe2 and 1L WS2 is applicable to 2L WSe2 as well [12].
II. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

We mechanically exfoliate 2L WSe$_2$ from bulk crystals onto polydimethylsiloxane substrates [30]. After characterization of the samples by performing reflectance contrast and photoluminescence (PL) measurements, we transfer the 2L onto a 1.0-mm-thick flexible polymer, polyethylene terephthalate glycol-modified (PETG). By bending the PETG substrate with a micromanipulator, we can incrementally apply uniaxial strain in a configuration compatible with reflectance contrast and PL studies. We exercise care to measure the reflectance and PL on the same sample location (within the spot size of the light beam) for a given strain level (see the Supplemental Material (SM) Sec. S1 [31] for the related calculations of the strain).

We obtain reflectance contrast spectra as $\Delta R/R = (R_{2L+PETG} - R_{PETG})/R_{PETG}$, where $R_{2L+PETG}$ and $R_{PETG}$ are the reflectance of the 2L on the PETG substrate and of the bare PETG substrate [30]. These reflectance contrast spectra are approximately proportional to the absorption spectrum of the semiconductor layer [36]. For the reflectance measurements, the light emitted from a tungsten-halogen lamp at a temperature of about 3400 K was focused down to a spot size of about 0.8 $\mu$m on the sample. For PL measurements, the 2Ls are excited with a 532-nm (2.33 eV) solid-state laser. A 40× objective with a numerical aperture of 0.6 was used for all measurements. The collected light was analyzed in a 300-mm focal length spectrometer equipped with a grating with 150 lines/mm. We obtained a spectral resolution of 0.8 meV around the peak energy of the A exciton.

We performed all sample fabrication and optical measurements at room temperature and without any other processing. This approach minimizes uncontrollable strain due to temperature changes. We note that the alignment of the crystallographic axes of the samples with respect to the direction of strain in our experiments is arbitrary. Within the regime of the strain we have examined in this study, we do not expect a significant dependence of the material properties on the direction of the axis of the strain relative to the crystallographic axes of the sample [37–39].

III. RESULTS

A. Strain dependence of the optical reflectance and photoluminescence

We display the effect of strain on the reflectance contrast and PL of 2L WSe$_2$ in Fig. 1. We analyze the strain-dependent reflectance spectra and extract the peak positions and the spectral linewidths of the excitons by fitting in a standard transfer matrix formalism [1,40]. As can be seen from the figure, the reflectance spectrum is dominated by the excitonic absorption peaks [3,36]. The peak amplitudes of the A and B exciton absorption features are observed to increase, while the corresponding linewidths can be seen to decrease under increasing strain. In particular, the linewidth $\gamma_{A\text{exciton}}$ of the A exciton absorption peak decreases from 70 to 36 meV for 2.1% strain. The oscillator strength of the A exciton absorption peak (proportional to the integrated area under the peak) is found to be nearly independent of strain (see SM Sec. S2 for more reflectance spectra [31]). We note that the PL spectrum for the unstrained sample exhibits multiple peaks near the band edge, corresponding to the indirect and direct gaps. More strikingly, under 2.1% strain the linewidth of the PL feature decreases from about 142 meV for the unstrained sample to 36 meV, with the latter width matching that of the absorption feature under strain. This behavior is understood in terms of a strain-induced transition from an indirect to a direct gap material, as reported earlier by Desai et al. [6].

B. Energies of excitonic transitions under strain

We further analyze the spectra in Figs. 1(a) and Fig. 1(b) to gain more insight into the optical properties of 2L WSe$_2$. The optical reflectance spectra will only show signatures of the direct transitions; the PL spectra can also reveal indirect transitions lying at lower energies than the direct transitions and hence favored by high populations of thermally relaxed excitons. Figure 1(c) shows the strain dependence of the energy of the A exciton extracted from the reflectance spectra, as well as the energy of the observed maximum of the feature in the PL spectra. The reflectance spectra show that the A exciton...
shields from 1.65 to 1.54 eV and the \( B \) exciton shifts from 2.09 to 1.99 eV as the strain increases from 0.0% to 2.1%. We fit the PL spectrum under 0.0% strain to two Lorentzian line shapes and find that it exhibits multiple peaks around 1.55 and 1.64 eV. We see that the \( A \) exciton energy is 1.65 eV, while the PL spectrum exhibits a peak at 1.55 eV at 0.0% strain. We take the lower-energy (higher-energy) feature in the PL to reflect the energy of excitons for the indirect (direct) transition. As Fig. 1(c) indicates, the energy of the maximum transition in the 2L spectrum blueshifts until 0.7% strain is reached. However, the feature at the higher-energy side, PL from the direct gap (\( KK \)), redshifts to 1.53 eV and dominates the PL spectrum at higher strain.

**C. Linewidths of excitonic transitions under strain**

After having a better understanding of the strain-dependent exciton states of 2L and 1L WSe\(_2\), we consider the influence of strain on the \( A \) exciton linewidth \( \gamma^A_{\text{exciton}} \). Figure 2(a) presents the results for 2L, as well as for 1L, WSe\(_2\) [12]. We see that \( \gamma^A_{\text{exciton}} \) of 2L WSe\(_2\) is about 70 meV at 0.0% strain and remains nearly unchanged for strains less than 0.7%. However, for higher strains, the linewidth decreases rapidly, at a rate of >20 meV/% strain, reaching 36 meV at 2.1% strain. The trend suggests that the linewidth is likely to decrease still further at higher strains, which we did not examine experimentally. Despite the common overall trend of line narrowing under strain observed for 1L WSe\(_2\), its behavior is quite different from that seen for the 2L samples: For the monolayer, \( \gamma^A_{\text{exciton}} \) already decreases at low strain and approaches a nearly constant asymptotic behavior at high strain [42]. Another observation is that, although the unstrained 1L has a significantly smaller linewidth than the 2L, at 2.1% strain, the linewidth (36 meV) of the 2L WSe\(_2\) drops below that of the unstrained 1L WSe\(_2\) (42 meV) [12].

**IV. DISCUSSION**

**A. Indirect gap of 2L: \( KQ \) or \( \Gamma K \)?**

After confirming the indirect gap nature of the 2L, an important question to answer is whether the PL observed from the indirect gap [feature at 1.55 eV at 0% strain in Fig. 1(b)] is mediated via the \( KQ \) or the \( \Gamma K \) transition [18]. To address this point, we inspect the valleys in the Brillouin zone of 2L WSe\(_2\) and their behavior under strain. Let us note that we refer to the spin-allowed states with respect to the top of the \( K \) point in the valence band for the \( A \) exciton in this study, which are the higher- and lower-energy spin states of the \( K \) and \( Q \) valleys in the conduction band, respectively [20]. Figure 2(b) depicts schematically the valence and conduction bands of 1L and 2L WSe\(_2\). Blue arrows indicate the direction and (qualitatively) the rate of the shift under strain of the selected extrema with respect to the \( K \)-point maximum of the valence band [29,38].

**B. Effect of strain on line-broadening mechanisms**

Here we wish to discuss the noticeably different exciton linewidth \( \gamma^A_{\text{exciton}} \) and its strain dependence for 2L and 1L WSe\(_2\). As has been established in previous investigations [12,14–17], the room-temperature exciton linewidth is strongly affected by exciton-phonon scattering. We expect that the change in this scattering rate with strain will be the dominant contribution to the observed strain dependence of the linewidth. To examine the influence of exciton-phonon scattering more quantitatively, we implement the deformation potential model recently applied to the 1Ls of WSe\(_2\) and WS\(_2\) [12]. We assume that the carrier-phonon coupling matrix elements are the same for 2L and 1L WSe\(_2\), as in the case of WS\(_2\) investigated by Raja et al. [47]. We consider the three processes that primarily determine \( \gamma^A_{\text{exciton}} \) to be the following: radiative decay (\( \gamma_{\text{rad}} \)), intravalley scattering (\( KK \) to \( KK \) exciton-phonon scattering, \( \gamma^A_{\text{KK-\text{KK}}} \)), and intervalley scattering (\( KQ \) to \( KQ \) and \( \Gamma K \) exciton-phonon scattering, \( \gamma^A_{KQ-KQ} \) and \( \gamma^A_{\Gamma K-\Gamma K} \), respectively) [24]. Despite the fact that the calculated \( \Gamma K \) state energy is closer to the \( KK \) state energy in the 2L than in the 1L [41], \( \gamma^A_{\Gamma K-\Gamma K} \) is governed by a small deformation potential and we ignore this term [21]. The \( KK \) to \( KK' \) intervalley scattering rate is negligible for 2L (akin to 1L) due to the relatively small deformation potentials of the associated scattering mechanisms [21,24], as they require a spin-flip that is much slower than the other mechanisms [48]. We now consider these contributions for 2L and the effect of strain on them.
First, for the case of the radiative broadening $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$ of the 2L, similar values have been measured for 1L and 2L WSe$_2$ [49,50], as expected from the nearly invariant oscillator strengths over layer thicknesses [3]. $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$ is only a few meV [51–54], which is calculated to increase by about 10%/% strain for 1Ls [17]. We assume the same trend for 2L WSe$_2$. The effect of strain on $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$ is plotted as the yellow area in Fig. 3(a). Evidently, $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$ is calculated to slightly increase, opposite to the overall trend [17,24,54].

Second, to analyze $\gamma_{KK-KK}$, we compare the properties of 2L to 1L and apply the model for intravalley scattering [21]. We consider the strain-induced change in the $KK$ exciton reduced mass ($m_{KK}$), the mass density of the material, the sound velocity, and the related phonon frequencies [17,22,39]. Reports have shown that the effective hole masses at the $K$ point in the valence band are essentially the same for 1L and 2L [45,55]. Calculations have yielded effective electron mass at the $K$ point in the conduction band of the 2L that is only negligibly greater than that of the 1L. Since the relevant phonon frequencies and the mass densities are essentially the same for the 1L and the 2L, we expect the same speed of sound as well [56]. Therefore, for simplicity, we assume that 1L and 2L WSe$_2$ have the same trend for $\gamma_{KK-KK}$ under strain. Thus, we find that $\gamma_{KK-KK}$ decreases by about 2%/% strain for 2L WSe$_2$ corresponding to a decrease of less than 1 meV at the highest strain achieved, plotted as the orange area in Fig. 3(a) [16,24].

It is evident that the sum of $\gamma_{KK-KK}$ and $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$ [orange line in Fig. 3(a)] is nearly constant under strain and they do not explain the significant reduction in the $Y^A$ exciton $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$ of the 2L observed under increasing strain. Further, unstrained 1L and 2L WSe$_2$ have appreciably different linewidths of 42 and 70 meV, respectively, which must also be accounted for.

We now turn to $\gamma_{KK-KQ}$, and its strain dependence. As is the case with $\gamma_{KK-KK}$ and $\gamma_{\text{rad}}$, 1L and 2L have virtually the same material properties that influence $\gamma_{KK-KQ}$, with one important exception: the energy difference of the excitons, $E_{KQ-KK}$. Figure 3(b) schematically represents the line-broadening mechanisms and the strain dependence of the relative energy levels of $KQ$ and $KK$ states.

C. Effect of strain on $E_{KQ-KK}$

To analyze the experimentally determined variation in the $A$ exciton linewidth with strain, we must first consider the strain dependence of $E_{KQ-KK}$, which, as we have just argued, will strongly influence the strain dependence of $\gamma_{KK-KQ}$. Writing the contributions to the exciton energy in terms of shifts of the band separation and shifts of the exciton binding
energies under strain \( \epsilon \), we have
\[
E_{KQ-KK}(\epsilon) = E_{KQ}(\epsilon) - E_{KK}(\epsilon) = E_{KQ-KK}(0) + \Delta E_{KQ}^{\text{quasiparticle}}(\epsilon) - \Delta E_{KQ}^{\text{binding}}(\epsilon) - \Delta E_{KK}(\epsilon).
\]
(1)

Here \( E_{KQ}(\epsilon) \) and \( E_{KK}(\epsilon) \) are the strain-dependent energies of the \( KQ \) and \( KK \) excitons, respectively. \( \Delta E_{KQ}^{\text{quasiparticle}}(\epsilon) \), \( \Delta E_{KQ}^{\text{binding}}(\epsilon) \), and \( \Delta E_{KK}(\epsilon) \) are the changes induced by strain in the \( KQ \) quasiparticle gap, the \( KQ \) exciton binding energy, and the \( KK \) exciton energy, respectively. We evaluate these quantities for 2L WSe\(_2\) in the following manner: \( \Delta E_{KK}(\epsilon) = -52 \text{ meV / % strain} \) is obtained directly from the experimentally reflectance spectra presented above. \( \Delta E_{KQ}^{\text{binding}}(\epsilon) \) can be estimated from the change of the \( KQ \) exciton reduced mass with strain; we find \( \Delta E_{KQ}^{\text{binding}}(\epsilon) = -4 \text{ meV / % strain} \) (see SM Sec. S3 [31]). We extract \( \Delta E_{KQ}^{\text{quasiparticle}}(\epsilon) = 18 \text{ meV / % strain} \) from density functional theory (DFT) calculations in the literature [6]. Combining these results, we obtain the following for the strain dependence of the relative energies of indirect and direct excitons:
\[
E_{KQ-KK}(\epsilon) = -100 \text{ meV} + 74 \text{ meV} \epsilon \%.
\]
(2)

The enhancement of the PL with strain seen in Fig. 1(b) is consistent with Eq. (2): Increased \( E_{KQ-KK} \) at higher strain results in significantly more \( KK \) excitons in thermal equilibrium at room temperature, leading to stronger PL through the direct-gap emission channel. Equation (2) predicts an indirect-to-direct gap transition at \( \epsilon = 1.4\% \) when \( E_{KQ-KK}(\epsilon) = 0 \text{ meV} \). In fact, Fig. 1(c) shows that the peaks positions in the band-edge absorption and emission spectra are close to one another for 1.4% strain, confirming this prediction. This value differs from the DFT calculations mainly due to a smaller calculated value for \( E_{KQ-KK}(0) \) [6].

We infer that \( \gamma_{KK-KQ} \) and \( \gamma_{rad} \) of the 2L contribute in total about 20 meV to the linewidth, as in the 1L [12]. We account for them to deduce \( \gamma_{KK-KQ} \). Our model fits the strain-dependent linewidth reasonably well, with \( \gamma_{KK-KQ} \) decreasing from 55 (21) meV to 17 (5) meV for 2L (1L) WSe\(_2\), shown as the turquoise area in Fig. 3(a). The trend of the 2L linewidth suggests a further decrease at higher strain due to a reduction of the \( \gamma_{KK-KQ} \) with larger \( E_{KQ-KK} \). Nevertheless, at such strain values, the band structure will be significantly affected and other scattering rates such as \( \gamma_{KK-\Gamma K} \) may not be negligible anymore.

D. 1L vs 2L WSe\(_2\)

We have so far suggested that the distinct behavior under strain of \( \gamma^A \) exciton of the 2L and the 1L arises from their different \( KQ \) and \( KK \) gap separation under 0% strain, \( E_{KQ-KK}(\epsilon = 0) \). It is therefore useful to compare the dependence of linewidths on \( E_{KQ-KK} \) under strain. We plot the calculated and experimentally obtained \( \gamma^A \) exciton values as a function of \( E_{KQ-KK} \) in Fig. 3(c). We recall that the other material properties that affect the linewidth are expected to change only weakly under strain. As a result, the calculated values for the two thicknesses match well in the range where the \( E_{KQ-KK} \) values overlap. The experimental values of the 2L on the side of high strain also match well the values of the 1L on the low strain side where the values of \( E_{KQ-KK} \) are comparable. This further verifies the essence of our conclusion that the dominant intrinsic material property giving rise to distinct \( \gamma^A \) exciton for 1L and 2L WSe\(_2\) is \( E_{KQ-KK} \). This conclusion extends to the case of 1L WS\(_2\) [12].

Here we elaborate on the distinct trends of \( \gamma^A \) exciton of 1L and 2L WSe\(_2\) under strain. \( \gamma^A \) exciton of 2L is nearly unchanged for strains <0.7%. This behavior reflects the fact that the contribution to \( \gamma_{KK-KQ} \) via phonon emission is not significantly affected until \( E_{KQ-KK} \) becomes comparable to the phonon energies, 10 to 30 meV [21]. Beyond that level of strain, \( \gamma^A \) exciton starts decreasing rapidly, at a rate exceeding 20 meV / % strain, falling to 36 meV at 2.1% strain. The linewidth keeps decreasing with increasing strain until \( E_{KQ-KK} \) becomes positive and slightly larger than the phonon energies, at which point this scattering channel becomes and remains weak.

Figure 3(c) presents a picture that simultaneously explains the behavior of the 1L and the 2L and the distinction between them. The larger measured reduction in linewidth in 2L WSe\(_2\) (34 meV, 50% of the unstrained linewidth) than in 1L WSe\(_2\) (18 meV, 40% of the unstrained linewidth) under the same strain applied reflects the different value of the exciton energies of the unstrained materials, \( E_{KQ-KK}(0) \) (10 meV vs 40 meV). Note that the change in \( E_{KQ-KK} \) with strain results in decreases of \( \gamma_{KK-KQ} \) that are proportionally much greater than the strain and are significantly different for 2L and 1L WSe\(_2\).

E. Linewidth of the B exciton

Figure 1(a) clearly shows that the linewidth of the B exciton is larger than that of the A exciton without strain. The state associated with the B exciton lies higher in energy, enabling relaxation channels beyond those presented above. One such relaxation channel is \( \gamma_{KK-\Gamma K} \), which is not negligible for the B exciton, as the energy difference between the same-spin \( KK \) and \( \Gamma K \) states is relatively small [41]. Nevertheless, we expect that the intervalley scattering rate \( \gamma_{KK-\Gamma K} \) of the B exciton should also decrease with strain due to the relative shifts of the higher-lying \( KK \) and \( KQ \) states. Experimentally, we do indeed observe a decrease in the B exciton linewidth of 2L WSe\(_2\) at high strain as seen in Fig. 1(a). However, a detailed and quantitative model to explain the linewidth narrowing observed for the B exciton with strain is more complicated and beyond the scope of this paper [57].

F. Influence of strain on other TMDC bilayers

In this study, we have focused our attention on 2L WSe\(_2\) due to the small energy separation between the indirect (\( KQ \)) and direct (\( KK \)) gaps, \( E_{KQ-KK} \) [18,19]. Furthermore, as 2L is nearly indirect, PL from both gaps has been readily observed [6,58]. This enables directly determining \( E_{KQ-KK} \) in 2L WSe\(_2\). Moreover, the small \( E_{KQ-KK} \) makes the optical spectra of the excitons sensitive to strain, yielding observable signatures. For instance, an indirect-to-direct gap transition has been observed in 2L WSe\(_2\) [6]. However, in the case of 2L MoS\(_2\), MoSe\(_2\), and WS\(_2\), other scattering channels for the \( KK \)
state are available due to the relatively higher-lying \( \Gamma \) valley in the valence band (such as the \( \Gamma K \) state forming an indirect gap) \cite{59,60}. Those additional scattering channels make the effect of strain on the optical spectra more complicated \cite{47} and may quench the PL from the \( KQ \) state, impeding the direct determination of \( E_{KQ-KK} \) \cite{19}. Therefore, we present for completeness spectra of some of the other 2L TMDCs (Fig. 4), but have not attempted a quantitative analysis of the strain-dependent exciton linewidths.

Figure 4 depicts the reflectance contrast spectra of 1L WS\(_2\), 2L WS\(_2\), 2L MoSe\(_2\), and 2L MoTe\(_2\) with and without tensile strain \cite{12}. There are clear decreases in the linewidths of the peaks in these materials under strain. \( \gamma^A \text{ exciton} \) of 2L WS\(_2\) decreases from 69 meV (better than typical \cite{47}) to 41 meV, \( \gamma^A \text{ exciton} \) of 2L MoSe\(_2\) decreases from 64 to 39 meV, and \( \gamma^A \text{ exciton} \) of 2L MoTe\(_2\) decreases from 79 to 57 meV at around 2.1% strain. We analyzed \( \gamma^A \text{ exciton} \) of 1L WS\(_2\) in greater detail in our earlier study \cite{12}. Our results suggest that such changes are strongly correlated to the influence of strain on the band structure and thus exciton-phonon scattering.

\section{G. Does hexagonal boron nitride encapsulation affect the intrinsic linewidth of 1L WSe\(_2\)?}

Recent studies have reported the pronounced effect of hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) encapsulation on the exciton linewidths of 1L TMDCs \cite{51,61}. There is clear evidence that hBN encapsulation provides a homogeneous dielectric environment for the excitons, resulting in significant reductions in their total linewidth \cite{62}, particularly at low temperatures. However, the different quantitative reductions on the linewidths of, for example, MoSe\(_2\) and WS\(_2\) indicates that additional mechanisms may be playing a role \cite{51}. Therefore, hBN encapsulation may be affecting the intrinsic linewidth of the excitons in ways that are different for different TMDCs. Interestingly, it is easy to observe a quantitative correlation between the effect of strain and hBN encapsulation on the exciton linewidths in TMDCs. For instance, the WSe\(_2\) linewidth is most sensitive to strain and hBN encapsulation. To elaborate, given that the impact of strain on \( \gamma^A \text{ exciton} \) is mainly a result of the band structure changes, it is important to understand the effect of hBN on the band structure and other properties affecting the exciton-phonon scattering in TMDCs \cite{14,51,63–65}. As our study showed, \( \gamma^A \text{ exciton} \) is less sensitive to the small changes to the band structure in the 2L than to those in the 1L. This suggests that examining hBN-encapsulated 1L and 2L WSe\(_2\) samples may give a window into the importance of this effect. Furthermore, the same method will be helpful to study the effects of other atomically thin materials on one another. For instance, the effects of graphene on the band structure and the exciton linewidths of TMDCs can be investigated by comparing neighboring 1L and 2L WSe\(_2\) samples under the dielectric influence of the same graphene sample \cite{66,67}.

\section{V. OUTLOOK}

Our results suggest that compressive strain (excluding other factors such as wrinkle formation due to strain) may result in line broadening and PL quenching in two-dimensional (2D) materials. Strain-dependent PL enhancement and linewidth can be employed as a measure for strain imaging which will be useful for large-scale growth of 2D materials \cite{11}. Wafer-scale growth paves the way to flexible optoelectronics and our results will be beneficial to that field \cite{68}. Strain-tuned interaction between the emission of 2D materials and the surrounding environment or other low-dimensional materials can be probed. Heterostructures of strained 1Ls and other atomically thin materials will be useful for specific studies, such as those in which the \( KQ \) state is desired to be far above the \( KK \) state. Thus, our work shows that strain engineering enables various studies and expands the limits of the 2D materials field.

\section{VI. CONCLUSION}

In conclusion, we have performed optical spectroscopy on 2L WSe\(_2\) under mechanical strain. A uniaxial tensile strain of 2.1% reduces its optical band gap by 110 meV from 1.65 to 1.54 eV. The energy of the maximum emission in the PL spectrum blueshifts for strains below 0.7% strain, but redshifts under higher strains. This nonmonotonic behavior arises from the interplay of the indirect and direct gaps. We have shown that the indirect PL is a \( KQ \) transition in the Brillouin zone. Our calculations suggest that the WSe\(_2\) bilayer changes from an optically indirect gap to a direct gap material at 1.4% strain. We have demonstrated that the exciton linewidths of 2L and 1L WSe\(_2\), while both decreasing under increasing strain, show quite different behaviors. The difference stems from the indirect gap nature of the unstrained 2L. The line narrowing in the 2L is also a result of the increased energy separation between the lowest-energy indirect and direct states, suppressing the phonon-induced intervalley scattering. \( \gamma^A \text{ exciton} \) becomes as small as 36 meV at 2.1% strain. Strained 2L WSe\(_2\) is thus
a material with an optical direct gap and reduced exciton-phonon coupling which can be viewed as an alternative to unstrained 1L WSe$_2$ for studies and applications that require a direct band gap material.
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